Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/661,791	LEUNG, BERNARD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Harry A. Grosso	3727
All Participants: Status of Application: Allowed		
(1) Harry A. Grosso.	(3)	
(2) Morey Wildes.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 July 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 12, 21, 26-28		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Dord II		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE	CENEDAL NATURE OF WHA	T M/AS DISCUISSED:
See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL WATORE OF WITA	T WAS DISCUSSED.
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate 	ion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
Mulin		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Interview was the result of amendments submitted in response the the 1st Office Action. Examiner advised Mr. Wildes that independent claims 1, 12 and 21 were still rejectable over the prior art cited in the office action but new claims 26-28 contained allowable subject matter and the independent claims could be made allowable by incorporation of the limitations of these claims. Claims 26-28 would be cancelled. Mr. Wildes indicated tentative concurrence with the changes proposed pending consulting with applicant. Applicant's concurrence was received and an examiner's amendment will be prepared pursuant to this discussion..